



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,242	01/12/2001	Robert J. Davidson	10002343-1	2554

7590 01/13/2005

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

SHELEHEDA, JAMES R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2614

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/760,242

Applicant(s)

DAVIDSON, ROBERT J.

Examiner

James Sheleheda

Art Unit

2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 August 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantone (5,734,781) (of record) in view of Richie (4,809,089) and Beckett (Beckett, Jamie. "Making Room for Digital Data") (of record).

As to claim 1, Cantone discloses a method of portably handling a movie comprising:

storing an electronically readable movie into a portable movie storage module (digital video cassette, 10; column 2, lines 55-60);

connecting the portable storage module to a movie playback device (VCR, Fig. 3; column 2, lines 60-62);

recalling selectively the movie from the portable storage module into the movie playback device (VCR; column 2, lines 60-62); and

displaying the movie (column 2, lines 62-65), he fails to specifically disclose wherein the playback device is portable, displaying the movie on the playback device and wherein said storage module includes an atomic resolution storage memory.

Art Unit: 2614

In an analogous art, Richie discloses the use of a portable video playback device (Fig. 1; column 2, lines 7-14) which will display video (column 2, lines 20-28) from a storage module (a video cassette; column 2, lines 37-43) for the benefits of allowing video playback while on picnics, long automobile rides and locations where a normal television display would be unavailable (column 1, lines 50-62).

Additionally, in an analogous art, Beckett discloses an information storage device consisting of an atomic resolution storage component (page 1, paragraphs 5-6), wherein said storage device is capable of storing digitized media (see DVD storage, page 1, paragraph 5), for the advantage of providing a compact and low-power method of storing massive amounts digitized media (page 1, paragraph 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone's system to include wherein the playback device is portable, displaying the movie on the playback device, as taught by Richie, for the typical benefit of allowing video playback while on picnics, long automobile rides and locations where a normal television display would be unavailable.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone and Richie's system to include wherein said storage module includes an atomic resolution storage memory, as taught by Beckett, for the advantage of providing a compact and low-power method of storing massive digitized media in a digital entertainment system.

As to claim 4, Cantone, Richie and Beckett disclose

repeatedly storing additionally electronically readable movies into the memory component of the storage module (see Cantone, column 3, lines 56-59).

As to claim 5, Cantone, Richie and Beckett disclose wherein recalling selectively the movie further comprises the playback device including a personal movie player (Portable Video Cassette Player; see Richie at Fig. 1; column 1, lines 50-62).

3. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantone, Richie and Beckett as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Allen (5,909,638) (of record).

Considering claim 2, although Cantone and Beckett disclose a method of storing and recalling electronically readable movies into and from the memory component of a portable movie storage module, they fail to specifically disclose transferring a copy of the movie from a purchase center into said memory component.

In an analogous art, Allen discloses a method of high-speed video distribution wherein a copy of a movie is transferred from a purchase center into a memory component (in this case, a VHS tape) (column 3, lines 44-48), for the advantage of providing a convenient means for accessing new or popular movies.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the combined methods of Cantone and Beckett to include transferring a copy of the movie from a purchase center into said memory component,

Art Unit: 2614

as taught by Allen, for the advantage of providing a convenient means for accessing new or popular movies.

As to claim 3, Cantone, Richie, Beckett and Allen disclose downloading said movie from a remotely located centralized movie database (see Allen at column 3, lines 38-40).

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantone, Richie and Beckett as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Chung (6,628,963) (of record).

As for claim 8, while Cantone, Richie and Beckett disclose storing and recalling movie content, they fail to specifically disclose the step of storing and recalling as being in a broadband frequency format.

In an analogous art, Chung discloses a method of storing and recalling said movie content in a compressed, broadband frequency format (see MPEG, column 2, line 35 - column 3, line 11), for the advantage of providing a fast and efficient way of storing and recalling the movie.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the combined methods of Cantone and Beckett to include the step of storing and recalling as being in a broadband frequency format, as taught by Chung, for the advantage of providing a fast and efficient way of storing and recalling data for proper display on a display device.

5. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantone, Richie and Beckett as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yamagata et al. (Yamagata) (4,908,793) (of record).

As to claim 6, while Cantone, Richie and Beckett disclose a method of portably storing electronically readable movies through the use of a storage module, however they fail to specifically disclose wherein the storage module has a communication interface and a power supply.

In an analogous art, Yamagata discloses a storage device (100) containing a communications interface (6) and being coupled to a power supply (power supply circuit 150 and battery 130), for the purpose of transferring data between an external unit and the device (column 2, lines 66-68), and for generating power to record and reproduce information (column 2, lines 39-40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone, Richie and Beckett's system to include the storage module as having a communication interface and having a power supply, as taught by Yamagata, for the purpose of transferring data between an external unit and the memory device, and for generating power to record and reproduce information in a memory storage device, respectively.

As to claim 7, Cantone, Richie, Beckett and Yamagata (as applied above) fail to specifically disclose wherein the memory component further comprises controller logic

for operating the storage device and communicating between the memory component and the communications interface, as recited in the claim.

Yamagata further discloses wherein the memory component (100) further comprises controller logic (disk control circuit 9) for operating the storage device and communicating between the memory component and the communications interface (column 2, lines 64-65, column 3, lines 22-26, and column 4, lines 1-4), for the purpose of having the ability to control the read and write operations of the memory device (column 2, lines 64-65, and column 4, lines 1-4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to further modify Cantone, Richie, Beckett and Yamagata's system to further include wherein the memory component comprises controller logic for operating the storage device and communicating between the memory component and the communications interface, as further taught by Yamagata, for the purpose of having the ability to control the read and write operations of the memory device in a communications storage medium.

6. Claims 9, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantone in view of Richie, Beckett and Yamagata.

As to claim 9, while Cantone discloses a personal movie storage module comprising a storage device (column 2, lines 20-30) removably connected to a playback device (VCR, Fig. 3; column 2, lines 60-62) and capable of storing at least one movie (column 3, lines 56-58), he fails to specifically disclose wherein the playback device

is capable of displaying the movie, wherein said storage module includes an atomic resolution storage device memory and a communication interface for communicating to and from the memory components of the storage module, as recited in the claim.

In an analogous art, Richie discloses the use of a portable video playback device (Fig. 1; column 2, lines 7-14) which will display video (column 2, lines 20-28) from a storage module (a video cassette; column 2, lines 37-43) for the benefits of allowing video playback while on picnics, long automobile rides and locations where a normal television display would be unavailable (column 1, lines 50-62).

Additionally, in an analogous art, Beckett discloses an information storage device consisting of an atomic resolution storage component (page 1, paragraphs 5-6), wherein said storage device is capable of storing digitized media (see DVD storage, page 1, paragraph 5), for the advantage of providing a compact and low-power method of storing massive amounts digitized media (page 1, paragraph 2).

Furthermore, in an analogous art, Yamagata discloses a storage device (100) containing a communications interface (6) and being coupled to a power supply (power supply circuit 150 and battery 130), for the purpose of transferring data between an external unit and the device (column 2, lines 66-68).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone's system to include wherein the playback device is portable, displaying the movie on the playback device, as taught by Richie, for the typical benefit of allowing video playback while on picnics, long automobile rides and locations where a normal television display would be unavailable.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone and Richie's system to include wherein said storage module includes an atomic resolution storage memory, as taught by Beckett, for the advantage of providing a compact and low-power method of storing massive digitized media in a digital entertainment system.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone, Richie and Beckett's system to include the storage module as having a communication interface and having a power supply, as taught by Yamagata, for the purpose of transferring data between an external unit and the memory device.

As to claim 10, Cantone, Richie, Beckett and Yamagata (as applied above) fail to specifically disclose wherein the memory component further comprises controller logic for operating the storage device and communicating between the memory component and the communications interface, as recited in the claim.

Yamagata further discloses wherein the memory component (100) further comprises controller logic (disk control circuit 9) for operating the storage device and communicating between the memory component and the communications interface (column 2, lines 64-65, column 3, lines 22-26, and column 4, lines 1-4), for the purpose of having the ability to control the read and write operations of the memory device (column 2, lines 64-65, and column 4, lines 1-4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to further modify Cantone, Richie, Beckett and Yamagata's system to further include wherein the memory component comprises controller logic for operating the storage device and communicating between the memory component and the communications interface, as further taught by Yamagata, for the purpose of having the ability to control the read and write operations of the memory device in a communications storage medium.

As for claim 15, while Cantone, Richie, Beckett and Yamagata disclose a portable ultra-high capacity storage device and a communications interface, they fail (as applied above) to specifically disclose a housing enclosing said storage device and the communication interface

Yamagata further discloses said system further comprising a housing enclosing said storage device and the communication interface (column 4, lines 5-10). As is well known in the art, a housing provides protection for the internal electronics, in this case, the internal storage device and communication interface.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to further modify Cantone, Richie, Beckett and Yamagata's system to include a housing enclosing said storage device and the communication interface, as further taught by Yamagata, for the purpose of protecting the internal storage device and interface in a digital storage device.

Art Unit: 2614

7. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantone, Richie, Beckett and Yamagata as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Gibson et al. (Gibson) (5,557,596).

As to claim 11, while Cantone, Richie, Beckett and Yamagata describe the use an atomic resolution storage device, they fail to specifically disclose the atomic resolution storage device comprising: a micro-fabricated field emitter capable of generating an electron beam current, and a storage medium near the field emitter having a storage area in one of a plurality of states to represent data.

In an analogous art, Gibson discloses an atomic resolution storage device comprising a micro-fabricated field emitter capable of generating an electronic beam (column 2, line 65 - column 3, line 29), and a storage medium near the field emitter and having a storage area in one of a plurality of states to represent data stored in the storage area (column 3, lines 1-5), for the purpose of generating an ultra-high density device capable of reading and writing data on an atomic scale.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone, Richie, Beckett, and Yamagata's system to include the atomic resolution storage device comprising a micro-fabricated field emitter capable of generating an electron beam current, and a storage medium near the field emitter having a storage area in one of a plurality of states to represent data, as taught by Gibson, for the purpose of generating an ultra-high density device capable of reading and writing data on an atomic scale.

As to claim 12, Cantone, Richie, Beckett, Yamagata, and Gibson disclose an effect being generated when the electron beam current bombards the storage area, wherein the magnitude is dependent on the state of said storage, and wherein storage data is read by measuring the magnitude of the effect (see Gibson at column 5, line 64 - column 6, line 10).

As to claim 13, Cantone, Richie, Beckett, Yamagata, and Gibson disclose the atomic resolution storage module further comprising a plurality of storage areas on the storage medium, each storage area in one of a plurality of states to represent information stored in the storage area (see Gibson at column 5, line 64 – column 6, line 10), and a micro fabricated mover in the storage device for positioning various areas to be bombarded by the electron beam current (see Gibson at column 6, lines 2-10).

As to claim 14, Cantone, Richie, Beckett, Yamagata, and Gibson disclose the atomic resolution storage module further comprising a plurality of said field emitters (see Gibson at column 2, line 65 - column 3, line 5), with each emitter fabricated by semiconductor micro fabrication techniques capable of generating an electron beam current (see Gibson at column 3, lines 5-20), with each emitter space apart, and with each emitter being responsible for a number of storage areas such that said emitters can function in parallel to increase the data rate of the storage device (see Gibson at column 3, line 57 - column 4, line 20).

8. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantone, in view of Richie, Beckett, Yamagata and Allen.

As to claim 16, while Cantone discloses a portable movie storage system (column 2, lines 20-30) for storing at least one movie (column 2 lines 22-25) and a movie playback device removable connectable to the storage memory device (VCR, Fig. 3; column 2, lines 60-62), he fails to specifically disclose wherein

the playback device displays the movies,
the storage module including an atomic resolution storage device,
a communication interface for communicating to and from said storage device,
a system further permitting purchasable access to electronically stored movies, a centralized movie database for downloading to multiple points of purchase,
and a point-of-purchase center for selectively transferring a copy of a movie to the movie storage module, as recited in the claim.

In an analogous art, Richie discloses the use of a portable video playback device (Fig. 1; column 2, lines 7-14) which will display video (column 2, lines 20-28) from a storage module (a video cassette; column 2, lines 37-43) for the benefits of allowing video playback while on picnics, long automobile rides and locations where a normal television display would be unavailable (column 1, lines 50-62).

Additionally, in an analogous art, Beckett discloses an information storage device consisting of an atomic resolution storage component (page 1, paragraphs 5-6), wherein said storage device is capable of storing digitized media (see DVD storage,

Art Unit: 2614

page 1, paragraph 5), for the advantage of providing a compact and low-power method of storing massive amounts digitized media (page 1, paragraph 2).

Furthermore, in an analogous art, Yamagata discloses a storage device (100) containing a communications interface (6) and being coupled to a power supply (power supply circuit 150 and battery 130), for the purpose of transferring data between an external unit and the device (column 2, lines 66-68).

Also, in an analogous art, Allen discloses a system allowing purchasable access to electronically stored movies (column 3, lines 34-40)*, a centralized movie database for downloads to multiple points-of-purchase (column 2, lines 22-24)., and a point-of-purchase center for selectable transferring a copy of the selected movie from said database to the movie storage module memory component (column 2, lines 44-48), for the advantage of allowing the convenient purchase of a large, centrally located selection of movies to a multitude of customers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone's system to include wherein the playback device is portable, displaying the movie on the playback device, as taught by Richie, for the typical benefit of allowing video playback while on picnics, long automobile rides and locations where a normal television display would be unavailable.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone and Richie's system to include wherein said storage module includes an atomic resolution storage memory, as taught by Beckett, for the

Art Unit: 2614

advantage of providing a compact and low-power method of storing massive digitized media in a digital entertainment system.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone, Richie and Beckett's system to include the storage module as having a communication interface and having a power supply, as taught by Yamagata, for the purpose of transferring data between an external unit and the memory device.

Also, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone, Richie, Beckett and Yamagata's system to include

- a system permitting purchasable access to electronically stored movies;
- a centralized movie database for download to multiple points-of-purchase; and
- a point-of-purchase center for selectable transferring a copy of the selected movie from said database to the movie storage module memory component, as taught by Allen, for the advantage of allowing the convenient purchase of a large, centrally located selection of movies to a multitude of customers.

As to claim 17, Cantone, Richie, Beckett, Yamagata and Allen disclose wherein the playback device is a personal portable playback device (Portable Video Cassette Player; see Richie at Fig. 1; column 1, lines 50-62).

As to claim 18, Cantone, Richie, Beckett, Yamagata, and Allen disclose wherein the network and corresponding receiver of the centralized movie database and point-of-

purchase-center comprise a satellite network and receiver (see Allen at column 5, lines 28-33).

9. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantone, Richie and Beckett as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Russo (5,619,247).

As to claim 19, while Cantone, Richie and Beckett disclose storing a movie in the portable movie storage module, they fail to specifically disclose

storing instructions into the portable movie storage module to limit viewing the movie to a finite number of viewings; and

deleting the movie from the portable movie storage module once the movie has been viewed the finite number of viewings.

In an analogous art, Russo discloses a video system (Fig. 1; column 3, lines 40-64) wherein received programs are stored in a storage unit (14; column 4, lines 10-29) and wherein a viewed movie is deleted from storage (automatically erasing the movie after it has been viewed and enjoyed; column 11, lines 11-16) after the user has finished viewing the movie (column 11, lines 5-15) for the typical benefit of saving space on the storage medium (column 11, lines 14-16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Cantone, Richie and Beckett's system to include storing instructions into the portable movie storage module to limit viewing the movie to a finite number of viewings; and deleting the movie from the portable movie storage module

once the movie has been viewed the finite number of viewings, as taught by Russo, for the typical benefit of saving space on the storage medium.

As to claim 20, while Cantone, Richie and Beckett disclose storing a movie in the portable movie storage module, they fail to specifically disclose

storing instructions into the portable movie storage module to limit viewing the movie to a finite period of time; and

deleting the movie from the portable movie storage module once the finite period of time has expired.

In an analogous art, Russo discloses a video system (Fig. 1; column 3, lines 40-64) wherein received programs are stored in a storage unit (14; column 4, lines 10-29) and wherein a viewed movie is deleted from storage (automatically erasing the movie after it has been viewed and enjoyed; column 11, lines 11-16) after a finite period of time for viewing the movie has expired (after a typical movie rental period; column 5, lines 34-42) for the typical benefit of saving space on the storage medium (column 11, lines 14-16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Cantone, Richie and Beckett's system to include storing instructions into the portable movie storage module to limit viewing the movie to a finite period of time; and deleting the movie from the portable movie storage module once the finite period of time has expired, as taught by Russo, for the typical benefit of saving space on the storage medium.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

12. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually

Art Unit: 2614

depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on _____
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Signature: _____

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) _____ - _____ on _____.
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Signature: _____

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sheleheda whose telephone number is (703) 305-8722. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.


Art Unit: 2614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (703) 305-4795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Sheleheda
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2614

JS



JOHN MILLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600